ARCHAEOLOGY AND THE POLITICS OF THE PAST

This seminar explores the use of the past as a symbolic resource by modern communities and the social situation and responsibilities of archaeologists in this process. This is an issue of fundamental importance to the historical development and definition of archaeology as well as to current debates about the nature and direction of archaeological practice, theory, and epistemology. It is also an issue of major significance to the societies in which archaeologists operate and of which archaeology constitutes a field of knowledge production with far-reaching, and often unintended, consequences.

After a discussion of basic concepts and goals, the course will begin by first trying to situate the particular problems of archaeological research within broader discussions of the sociology, history, and philosophy of science (or "science studies") and the philosophy of history. Readings are designed to furnish the analytical tools necessary to examine questions concerning the ways in which archaeological practice (including the selection of appropriate research questions, the evaluation of knowledge claims, and funding for research and for the preservation of archaeo-logical sites) is affected by the institutional landscape of archaeology and the socio-political context of its disciplinary formation. Case studies from a variety of contexts are then used to show how archaeology has been involved in the politically charged construction of ethnic and regional identities and nationalist and colonialist mythologies in modern history, as well as in the commodification and consumption of "heritage" within the current context of globalization and the expansion of neo-liberal capitalism. Current debates about the authority of competing interpretations of archaeological evidence, the right to control public representations of the past, the contested ownership of archaeological materials and sites, and the role of media in defining narratives about the past and the practice of archaeology are also discussed.

Obviously, the seminar grapples with delicate and important epistemological, metaphysical, and ethical issues that all archaeologists must ultimately address. However, it is a basic contention of the course that such issues cannot be resolved simply by recourse to philosophical reflection and argumentation. Rather, archaeology must be understood not as a set of abstract theoretical propositions, but as a practice (or set of practices) embedded in particular socio-cultural contexts requiring careful historical and sociological analysis. As the principal authoritative conduit to the distant past, it is crucial that archaeologists develop a critical reflexive awareness of their own situation and their responsibilities in investigating and presenting the past in the midst of rival appeals to its use in authenticating modern collective identities and to its potential marketability. This means that it is important to look simultaneously

inward, trying to understand how the broader socio-political context of the discipline affects archaeological practice and knowledge production, and to look outward, trying to comprehend the effects of disciplinary practices on society at large.

REQUIREMENTS
Students will be expected to:

1) Read and critically discuss the readings for each seminar session. The class will be divided into two groups and each group will have specific responsibilities for discussion each week.

2) Prepare a **research paper** (maximum 15 pages, double-spaced) on a specific case study to be decided early in the quarter (**due March 19**).

3) Prepare and deliver a five minute **"position statement"** during the last seminar session explaining the personal perspective each student has developed on the role and responsibilities of the archaeologist in the context of the political issues explored during the course. Each student will focus on a particular theme to be chosen previously.

TEXTS (Available at the Seminary Coop Bookstore)

Facts on the Ground: Archaeological Practice and Territorial Self-Fashioning in Israeli Society (2001), by Nadia Abu El-Haj. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Science of Science and Reflexivity (2004), by Pierre Bourdieu. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

How Societies Remember (1989), by Paul Connerton. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Other readings will be on the CANVAS site.

SCEDULE OF TOPICS AND READINGS

Week 1:
Introduction to the Course: Issues, Concepts, and Goals

READING:
Trigger, B. (1984). Alternative archaeologies: nationalist, colonialist, imperialist. *Man*, 19:355-370.

Trigger, B.G. (1994). The coming of age of the history of archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2(1): 113–36.

Moro Abadía, O. (2010). Beyond externalism. Exploring new directions in the history of archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues* 17(2):215-236.

Hamilakis, Y. (1999). La trahison des archéologues? Archaeological practice as intellectual activity in postmodernity. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 12(1):60-79.

Week 2:
Opening Pandora's Box: Theory, Practice, Context, and Ideology in Science -- Situating Archaeology within some general issues in Science Studies and the Philosophy of History

     -A brief review of historical developments in the sociology of science (or "science studies") and the philosophy of history (from the progressive positivism of Popper, Reichenbach, and Carnap, to Mertonian functionalism, to Bachelard's discontinuities and Kuhn's paradigm revolutions, to the "new sociology of science", to Bourdieu's relational fields and "participant objectivation", to the semiotic symmetry of Latour and Woolgar, to anglophone reflexivity, to the "disunity of science" approach, "internalist" vs. "externalist" explanations, knowledge networks,…etc.)

     -How is archaeology different from and similar to other disciplines in terms of its practices, discourse, structure, knowledge claims, and social effects? Are there significant differences between "field sciences" and "laboratory sciences", and where does archaeology fall? Is archaeology a discipline, or is it something else (for example, a technique)? How are academic disciplines constituted and how do they operate? What is the international institutional landscape of archaeology?

     -How do we undertake an effective reflexive analysis of archaeology? How do we evaluate the issues of "influence", "context", "power", "culture", "symbolic capital", "relational fields", "boundaries", etc. and their role in the production of scientific/historical knowledge? Should we concentrate on what archaeologists say or what they do (in other words, on discourse/interpretation or practices/techniques)?

     -What implications does this kind of analysis have for archaeological epistemology? What is the nature of historical knowledge? What kinds of knowledge about the past are archaeologists producing and how do we evaluate rival claims within a discipline and between different "epistemic cultures"? What is the relationship between knowledge and power?

READING:
Bourdieu, P. (2004). *Science of Science and Reflexivity*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. [Group A]

Lenoir, T. (1997). *Instituting Science: The Cultural Production of Scientific Disciplines*. Chapter 3, "The Discipline of Nature and the Nature of Disciplines," pp. 45-74. [Group B]

Week 3:
"Influence" and "Context": Nationalism, Identity and the Past (European cases)

"Historians are to nationalism what poppy-growers in Pakistan are to heroin-addicts: we supply the essential raw material for the market.... What makes a nation is the past, what justifies one nation against others is the past, and historians are the people who produce it."
(Hobsbawm 1992:3)

"History is the most dangerous product which the chemistry of the mind has concocted. Its properties are well known. It produces dreams and drunkenness. It fills people with false memories, exaggerates their reactions, exacerbates old grievances, torments them in their repose, and encourages either a delirium of grandeur or a delusion of persecution. It makes whole nations bitter, arrogant, insufferable, and vainglorious."
(Paul Valery, Regards sur le Monde Actuel 1949:43)

-What do we mean by "nationalism"? How are different kinds of nationalism constructed? Why are history and archaeology, in particular, so often implicated in struggles over nationalism and identity? How do we evaluate the role of nationalism in archaeological practice and the production of archaeological knowledge, and, reciprocally, the role of archaeology in the construction and performance of nationalism?

-What can a focus on European contexts, the cradle of both nationalism and archaeology, tell us about the history of this relationship and its implications for disciplinary practice? What are the competing locations (national, regional, supra-national, factional group, gender, etc.) of identity politics and how/why has archaeology been drawn into various struggles or, in some cases, been ignored? What positions/actions have archaeologists taken in response to these complex forces, what different dangers does each entail, and how do we grapple with these difficulties?

READING:
Hobsbawm, E. (1992). Ethnicity and nationalism in Europe today. *Anthropology Today*, 8(1):3-8. [Group B]

Díaz-Andreu, M. (2001). Guest editor's introduction: nationalism and archaeology. *Nations and Nationalism* 7(4):429-440. [Group B]

Dietler, M. (1994). "Our ancestors the Gauls": archaeology, ethnic nationalism, and the manipulation of Celtic identity in modern Europe. *American Anthropologist*, 96: 584-605. [Group B]

Tomásková, S. (2003). Nationalism, local histories and the making of data in archaeology. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 9(3):485-507. [Group B]

Arnold, B. (2006). Pseudoarchaeology and nationalism. In *Archaeological Fantasies: How Pseudoarchaeology Misrepresents the Past and Misleads the Public*.

G.G. Fagan, ed. Pp. 154-179. London: Routledge. [Group A]

Effros, B. (2011). Contested origins: French and German views of a shared archaeological heritage in Lorraine. In *Multiple Antiquities -- Multiple Modernities: Ancient Histories in Nineteenth Century European Cultures*, G. Klaniczay, M. Werner and O. Gecser (eds.), pp. 305-333. Frankfurt: Campus Verlag. [Group A]

Kohl, P.L. and G. Tsetskhladze (1998). Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology in the Caucasus. In *Nationalism, Politics and the Practice of Archaeology,* Kohl, P. and C. Fawcett (eds.), pp. 149-174. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P. [Group A]

Week 4:
Collective (or Social) Memory and Nationalism

-How is collective (or social) memory constructed, performed, and contested? Is it different from history? What is its relationship to nationalism and identity? How is archaeology involved in the construction of memory?

READING:

Renan, E. (1990 [1882]). What is a nation? In *Nation and Narration*. H.K. Bhabha, ed. Pp. 8-22. New York: Routledge. [Group A]

Nora, P. (1989). Between memory and history: *Les lieux de mémoire*. *Representations* 26:7-24. [Group A]

Connerton, P. (1989). *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P. Pp. 1-71. [Group B]

Schwartz, B., Y. Zerubavel, B.M. Barnett and G. Steiner (1986). The recovery of Masada: a study in collective memory. *The Sociological Quarterly* 27(2):147-164. [Group B]

Dietler, M. (1998). A tale of three sites: the monumentalization of Celtic oppida and the politics of collective memory and identity. *World Archaeology*, 30: 72-89. [Group A]

Rubertone, P. (2008). Engaging monuments, memories, and archaeology. In *Archaeologies of Placemaking: Monuments, Memories, and Engagement in Native North America*, Rubertone, P. (ed.), pp. 13-33. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press. [Group B]

[Recommended: Halbwachs, M. (1992). *On Collective Memory*. (transl. L.W. Coser). Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Especially pp. 37-53, 120-189.]

Week 5:
Archaeology and the Institutional Landscapes of Scientific Disciplines:
The case of Philology, Classical Archaeology, Romanticism, Prehistory, and Medieval History in Germany

-How do academic disciplines form and transform? What are the social context and forces that structure these processes? How do we go about analyzing this complex relational process in historical detail? What can a focus on German classical archaeology and prehistory tell us about relational fields, symbolic capital, disciplinary habitus, and, ultimately, other domains of archaeological research?

READING:
Marchand, S. (2008). *Kultur* and the World War. In *Histories of Archaeology: A Reader in the History of Archaeology*. T. Murray and C. Evans, eds. Pp. 238-278. Oxford: Oxford University Press. [Group A]

Hamilakis, Y. (2009). *The Nation and its Ruins: Antiquity, Archaeology, and National Imagination in Greece*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Chapter 3: "From Western to Indigenous Hellenism", pp. 57-124. [Group A]

Roche, H. (2017). The peculiarities of German philhellenism. *The Historical Journal* doi:10.1017/50018246X17000322:1-20. [Group A]

Fazioli, K. P. (2012). From First Reich to Third Reich: German imperialism and Early Medieval scholarship in the southeastern Alpine region (c. 1919–1945). *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 8 (2):116-144. [Group B]

Arnold, B. (2006). '*Arierdämmerung*': race and archaeology in Nazi Germany. *World Archaeology* 38(1): 8–31. [Group B]

Hare, J. L. (2014). Nazi archaeology abroad: German prehistorians and the international dynamics of collaboration. *Patterns of Prejudice* 48(1):1-24. [Group B]

Halle, U. (2005). Archaeology in the Third Reich. Academic scholarship and the rise of the 'lunatic fringe'. *Archaeological Dialogues* 12(1):91-102. [Group B]

Week 6:
Archaeological Practice, Social Field, Symbolic Capital, and the Politics of the Academy:

-What role do the micro-political domains of life in the academy and "laboratory life" play in the production of knowledge, and how do we study them?
-What kinds of intellectual and institutional capital are deployed in struggles for power within academic fields? How do we study the effects of individual intellectuals navigating career politics, scholarly networks, and institutions?
-How do we evaluate the interplay of local and international forces and relations in the history of archaeological practice?

Van Reybrouck, D. (2002). Boule's error: on the social context of scientific knowledge. *Antiquity* 76:158-164. [Group A]

Maischberger, M. (2002). German archaeology during the Third Reich, 1933-45: a case study based on archival evidence. *Antiquity* 76:209-218. [Group A]

Kaeser, M.-A. (2002). On the international roots of prehistory. *Antiquity* 76:170-177. [Group A]

Shott, M.J. (2005). Two cultures: thought and practice in British and North American archaeology. *World Archaeology* 37(1):1-10. [Group A]

Flannery, K. (1982). The golden Marshalltown: a parable for the archaeology of the 1980s. *American Anthropologist* 84(2):265-278). [Group A]

Hutson, S.R. (2006). Self-citation in archaeology. Age, gender, prestige and the self. *Journal of archaeological method and theory* 13(1), 1–18. [Group B]

Kawa, N. C. et al. (2018). The social network of US academic anthropology and its inequalities. *American Anthropologist* 121 (1):14-29. [Group B]

Smith, S. (2015). Multiple temporalities of knowing in academic research. *Social Science Information* 54(2):149–176. [Group B]

Gero, J. M. (1985). Socio-politics and the woman-at-home ideology. *American Antiquity* 50(2):342-350. [Group B]

Wylie, A. (1996). The constitution of archaeological evidence: gender politics and science. In *The Disunity of Science*, edited by P. Galison and D.J. Stump, pp. 311-346. Stanford: Stanford University Press. [Group B]

Week 7:
Archaeology and Colonialism: Creating Landscapes and Monuments;
Ownership of the Past; The Past and Colonial Imaginaries

-How and why has archaeology been deployed in colonialist projects? What is the
relationship between forms of knowledge and power in colonial contexts? How is the
lived landscape reshaped through archaeological practice? How does archaeology
produce erasure as well as discovery? What are the political effects of both technical
practice and interpretation? How are claims to ownership made and enforced? What
are the complexities of "interests" that structure colonial archaeology? Can
archaeology escape its colonialist origins/legacy?

READING:
Abu El-Haj, N. (1998). *Facts on the Ground: Archaeological Practice and Territorial Self-
Fashioning in Israeli Society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. [Group B]

Dietler, M. (2010). *Archaeologies of Colonialism: Consumption, Entanglement, and Violence
in Ancient Mediterranean France*. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 27-
47. [Group A]

Week 8:
Archaeology, Decolonization, and the Postcolonial Situation
-Institutions, Communities, Public Archaeology, Indigenous Archaeology
-The Law, Archaeology, and Politics
-Ethics, Ethical Codes and Practices
-Archaeology in Violent and Post-Violent Conflict Situations

-What are the effects of colonial archaeology in "postcolonial" societies? How do these
differ in situations where the indigenous population constitutes the majority versus
those where they have become a marginalized minority? How do local post-colonial
archaeological communities relate to cosmopolitan archaeological bodies? How does
international geopolitics define hierarchies of prestige and significance within
academic fields in the post-colonial world? How does the law deal with questions of
contested ownership of the past, control of archaeological practice, and the correction
of colonial injustice? Are "Public" or "Community" Archaeology and "Indigenous"
Archaeology effective in correcting problems of exclusion and enabling alternative
archaeologies?

READING:
Díaz-Andreu, M. (2018). Archaeology and imperialism: from nineteenth-century
New Imperialism to twentieth-century decolonization. In *Unmasking
Ideology in Imperial and Colonial Archaeology: Vocabulary, Symbols, and
Legacy*. B. Effros and G. Lai, eds. Pp. 3-28. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA Cotsen
Institute of Archaeology. [Group A]

Shepherd, N. (2003). 'When the hand that holds the trowel is black . . .' Disciplinary practices of self-representation and the issue of 'native' labour in archaeology. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 3 (3):334-352. [Group A]

Horning, A. (2007). Cultures of contact, cultures of conflict? Identity construction, colonialist discourse, and the ethics of archaeological practice in Northern Ireland. *Stanford Journal of Archaeology* 5:107-133. [Group A]

Orser, C. E. (2004). Archaeological interpretation and the Irish diasporic community. In *Places in Mind: Public Archaeology as Applied Anthropology*. P.A. Shackel and E. Chambers, eds. Pp. 171-192. New York: Routledge. [Group A]

Hamilakis, Y. (2012). Are we postcolonial yet? Tales from the battlefield. *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 8(1):67-76. [Group A]

Greenberg, R. (2015). Ethics in action: a viewpoint from Israel/Palestine. In *Ethics and the Archaeology of Violence*. A. González-Ruibal and G. Moshenska, eds. New York: Springer. [Group A]

Atalay, S. (2006). Indigenous archaeology as decolonizing practice. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):280-310. [Group B]

Two Bears, D. R. (2006). Navajo archaeologist is not an oxymoron: a tribal archaeologist's experience. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):381-387. [Group B]

Murray, T. (2011). Archaeologists and indigenous people: a maturing relationship? *Annual Review of Anthropology* 40:363-378. [Group B]

McLaughlin, R. (1998). The American archaeological record: authority to dig, power to interpret. *International Journal of Cultural Property* 7:342-375. [Group B]

Kersal, M. M. (2010). The changing legal landscape for Middle Eastern archaeology in the colonial era, 1800-1930. In *Pioneers to the Past: American Archaeologists in the Middle East, 1919-1920*. G. Emberling, ed. Pp. 85-90. Chicago: The Oriental Institute. [Group B]

Blain, J. and R.J. Wallis (2004). Sacred sites, contested rites/rights: contemporary pagan engagements with the past. *Journal of Material Culture* 9(3):237-261. [Group B]

Week 9:
"Heritage" and the Commodification of the Past: Globalization, Capitalism, Neo-Imperialism, and Transnational and Regional Identities

-What is meant by "heritage"? Why has this concept become such a salient focus of interest for governments, popular movements, and capitalist enterprises in recent years? How has the past been transformed into a commodity and marketed? What are the economic stakes and ethical issues involved (for local people, foreign consumers, and archaeologists) in the globalized antiquities market and the transformation of archaeological sites into tourist attractions? Alternatively, what dangers do the rapid expansion of neo-liberal capitalism and globalization pose for the destruction of "heritage" and the archaeological record? What are the technologies and media of heritage construction, performance, and consumption? How have new transnational ethnoscapes of identity engaged with the past and with archaeology? What implications do these newly emerging conditions have for current and future archaeological practice?

READING:
Harvey, D. (2008). The history of heritage. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Heritage and Identity*. B. Graham and P. Howard, eds. Pp. 19-36. Burlington, VT: Ashgate. [Group A]

Vizcaíno Estevan, A. (2016). Consuming the past, digesting identity: when the past becomes a product. In *Archaeology and Neoliberalism*. P. Aparicio Resco, ed. Pp. 59-70. Madrid: JAS Arqueología Editorial. [Group A]

Dietler, M. (2006). Celticism, Celtitude, and Celticity: the consumption of the past in the age of globalization. In *Celtes et Gaulois dans l'histoire, l'historiographie et l'idéologie moderne. Actes de la table ronde de Leipzig, 16-17 juin 2005*, edited by Sabine Rieckhoff, pp. 237-248. Glux-en-Glenne: Bibracte, Centre Archéologique Européen (Bibracte 12/1). [Group A]

Silberman, N.A. (2007). 'Sustainable' heritage? Public archaeological interpretation and the marketed past. In *Archaeology and Capitalism: From Ethics to Politics*, Y. Hamilakis and P. Duke (eds.), pp. 179-193. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press. [Group A]

Olsen, B. J. (2001). The end of history? Archaeology and the politics of identity in a globalized world. *In* Destruction and Conservation of Cultural Property. R. Layton, P.G. Stone, and J. Thomas, eds. Pp. 42-54. New York: Routledge. [Group A]

Breglia, L. (2005). Keeping world heritage in the family: a genealogy of Maya labour at Chichén Itzá. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 11(5):385–398. [Group A]

Kersal, M. M. (2012). The power of the press: the effects of press releases and popular magazines on the antiquities trade. In *Archaeology, Bible Politics, and the Media. Proceedings of the Duke University Conference, April 23-24, 2009.*

E.M. Meyers and C. Meyers, eds. Pp. 73-83. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns. [Group B]

Brittain, M. and T. Clack (2007). Introduction: archaeology and the media. In *Archaeology and the Media,* Clack, T. and M. Brittain (eds.), pp. 11-65. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press. [Group B]

Hamilakis, Y. (2009). The ''War on Terror'' and the Military–Archaeology Complex: Iraq, ethics, and neo-colonialism. *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress.* [Group B]

Tarlow, S. (2000). Decoding ethics. *Public Archaeology* 1: 245–259. [Group B]

Kusimba, C.M. (1996). Kenya's destruction of the Swahili cultural heritage. In *Plundering Africa's Past,* Schmidt, P. and R. McIntosh (eds.), pp. 201-224. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. [Group B]

Week 10:
Politics and the Possibilities and Responsibilities of Archaeological Practice

-Archaeologists as Scholars, Activists, and Public Intellectuals
-How should/can archaeologists responsibly navigate the complex ethical and epistemological issues raised by a reflexive analysis of the politics of archaeological practice? How would you implement "participant objectivation"? Where do you go from here?

Student Position Statements

READING:
González-Ruibal, A., P. Alonso González and F. Criado-Boado (2018). Against reactionary populism: towards a new public archaeology. *Antiquity* 92(362):507–515 & 525–527.

Tarlow, S. and L. Nilsson Stutz (2013). Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual? *Archaeological Dialogues* 20(1):1-5 plus multiple responses: 5-79.

ADDITIONAL READING:

This is neither a comprehensive bibliography nor an endorsement – it is merely a partial list of some other relevant works to explore (for example, in connection with the research papers):

Abdi, K. (2001). Nationalism, politics, and the development of archaeology in Iran. *American Journal of Archaeology* 105:51-76.

Abélès, M. (1988). Modern political ritual: ethnography of an inauguration and a pilgrimage by President Mitterrand. *Current Anthropology*, 29:391-404.

Abu El-Haj, N. (1998).   Translating truths: nationalism, the practice of archaeology, and the remaking of past and present in contemporary Jerusalem. *American Ethnologist* 25:166-188.

Albarella, U. (2009). Archaeologists in conflict: empathizing with which victims? *Heritage Management* 2(1):105–14.

Allen, H. and C. Phillips (eds.) (2010). *Bridging the Divide: Indigenous Communities and Archaeology in the 21st Century*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Alonso, A.M. (1988). The effects of truth: re-presentations of the past and the imagining of community. *Journal of Historical Sociology* 1(1):33-58.

Alonso Gonza̋lez, P. (2016). Between Certainty and Trust: Boundary-Work and the Construction of Archaeological Epistemic Authority. *Cultural Sociology* 10 (4):483 - 501.

Anderson, B. (1991). *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism* (second edition). London: Verso.

Angelbeck, B. and C. Grier (2012). Anarchism and the archaeology of anarchic societies: resistance to centralization in the Coast Salish region of the Pacific Northwest Coast. *Current Anthropology* 53(5): 547-587.

Anthony, D. W. (1998). Nazi and eco-feminist prehistories: ideology and empiricism in Indo-European archaeology. In *Nationalism, Politics, and the Practice of Archaeology*, edited by P. Kohl and C. Fawcett, pp. 82-96. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Aparicio Resco, P. (ed.) (2016). *Archaeology and Neoliberalism*. Madrid: JAS Arqueología Editorial.

Apter, A. (1999). Africa, empire, and Anthropology: a philological exploration of Anthropology's Heart of Darkness. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 28:577-598.

Arnold, B. (1990). The past as propaganda: totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany. *Antiquity*, 64:464-478.

Arnold, B. (1998). The power of the past: nationalism and archaeology in 20th century Germany. *Archaeologia Polona* 35-36:237–253.

Arnold B. (2002). Justifying genocide: archaeology and the construction of difference. In *Annihilating Difference: the Anthropology of Genocide*, ed. By A.L. Hinton, pp. 95-116. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Arnold, B. (2006). 'Arierdämmerung': race and archaeology in Nazi Germany. *World Archaeology* 38:8-31.

Arnold, B. and Hassmann, H. (1995). Archaeology in Nazi Germany: the legacy of the Faustian bargain. In *Nationalism, Politics, and the Practice of Archaeology*, edited by P. Kohl and C. Fawcett, pp. 70-81. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Atalay, S. (2006). Indigenous archaeology as decolonizing practice. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):280-310.

Atalay, S. (2012). *Community-Based Archaeology: Research With, By, and For Indigenous and Local Communities*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Atalay, S., L. R. Clauss, R. H. McGuire & J. R. Welch (eds.) (2014). *Transforming Archaeology: Activist Practices and Prospects*. Walnut Creek, CA: LeftCoast.

Atkinson, J.A., I. Banks and J. O'Sullivan (eds.) (1996). *Nationalism and Archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum*. Glasgow: Cruithne Press.

Badone, E. (1991). Ethnography, fiction, and the meaning of the past in Brittany. *American Ethnologist* 18:518-545.

Bachelard, G. (1971). *Épistémologie. Textes choisis*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

Bachelard, G. (1980) [1938]. *La formation de l'ésprit scientifique: contribution à une psychanalyse de la connaissance objective*. 11th edition. Paris: Vrin. [Now translated into English as *The Formation of the Scientific Mind*, 2002, Clinamen Press.]

Bahrani, Z., Z. Çelik, and E. Eldem (eds.) (2011). *Scramble for the Past: A Story of Archaeology in the Ottoman Empire, 1753-1914*. Istanbul: SALT.

Balibar, E. (1991). The nation form: history and ideology. In *Race, Nation, Class - Ambiguous Identities*, ed. by E. Balibar and I. Wallerstein. Pp. 86-106. London: Verso.

Barkan, L. (1999). *Unearthing the Past: Archaeology and Aesthetics in the Making of Renaissance Culture*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Barker, A.W. (2010). Exhibiting archaeology: archaeology and museums. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 39:293-308.

Barnes, B. (1974). *Scientific Knowledge and Sociological Theory*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Barnes, B. and D. Bloor (1982). Relativism, rationalism and the sociology of knowledge. In *Rationality and Relativism*, edited by M. Nollis and S. Lukes. Oxford: Blackwell.

Barry, B. (2002). Essentialism and multiculturalism. A response. *Ethnicities* 2:284-287.

Bennett, T. (2002). Archaeological autopsy: objectifying time and cultural governance. *Journal of Cultural Research* 6(1-2):29-48.

Ben-Yehuda, N. (1995). *The Masada Myth: Collective Memory and Mythmaking in Israel*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Berliner, D. (2005). The abuses of memory. reflections on the memory boom in anthropology. *Anthropological Quarterly* 78(1):183-197.

Bernal, I. (1980). *A History of Mexican Archaeology*. London: Thames and Hudson.

Bernbeck, R. and S. Pollock (1996). Ayodhya, archaeology, and identity. *Current Anthropology* 37(Supplement):138-142.

Bernhardsson, Magnus T. (2005). *Reclaiming a Plundered Past: Archaeology and Nation Building in Modern Iraq*. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Blain, J. and R.J. Wallis (2004). Sacred sites, contested rites/rights: contemporary pagan engagements with the past. *Journal of Material Culture* 9(3):237-261.

Blakey, M. (1990). American nationality and ethnicity in the depicted past. In *The Politics of the Past*, ed. by P. Gathercole and D. Lowenthal, pp. 38-47. London: Routledge.

Blix, G. (2009). *From Paris to Pompeii: French Romanticism and the Cultural Politics of Archaeology*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Bloor, D. (1991)[1976]. *Knowledge and Social Imagery*. 2nd Edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bond, G.C. and A. Gilliam (eds.) (1994). *Social Construction of the Past: Representation as Power*. London: Routledge.

Bourdieu, P. (1971). Intellectual field and creative project. In *Knowledge and Control: New Directions for the Sociology of Education*, edited by M.F.D. Young, pp. 161-188. London: Collier-Macmillan.

Bourdieu, P. (1973). The three forms of theoretical knowledge. *Social Science Information* 12(1):53-80.

Bourdieu, P. (1975). The specificity of the scientific field and the social conditions of the progress of reason. *Social Science Information* 14(6):19-47. [also reprinted in *The Science Studies Reader*, edited by M. Biagioli, 1999, pp. 31-50, Routledge]

Bourdieu, P. (1988). *Homo Academicus*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Bourdieu, P. (1988). Vive la crise! For heterodoxy in social science. *Theory and Society* 17:773-788.

Bourdieu, P. (1990). *In Other Words: Essays toward a Reflexive Sociology*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Bourdieu, P. (1991). The peculiar history of scientific reason. *Sociological Forum* 5(2):3-26.

Bourdieu, P. (2003). Participant objectivation. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 9(2):281-294.

Bourget, M.-N. et al. (eds.) (1998). *L'invention scientifique de la Méditerranée, Égypte, Morée, Algérie*. Paris: Éditions de l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales.

Boytner, R., L.S. Dodd and B.J. Parker (eds.) (2010) *Controlling the Past, Owning the Future: The Political Uses of Archaeology in the Middle East*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.

Brass, T. (2017). Who these days is not a subaltern? The populist drift of global labor history. *Science & Society* 81(1):10-34.

Breglia, L. (2005). Keeping world heritage in the family: a genealogy of Maya labour at Chichén Itzá. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 11(5):385–398.

Brodie, N., J. Doole, and C. Renfrew (eds.) (2001). *Trade in Illicit Antiquities: The Destruction of the World's Archaeological Heritage*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

Brown, K.S. (1994). Seeing stars: character and identity in the landscapes of modern Macedonia. *Antiquity*, 68:784-796.

Brown, K. and Y. Hamilakis (eds.) (1999). *The Usable Past: Greek Metahistories*. Oxford: Lexington Books.

Brubaker, R. (1992). *Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Brubaker, R. (1996). *Nationalism Reframed: Nationhood and the National Question in the New Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brubaker, R. (2009). Ethnicity, race, and nationalism. *Annual Review of Sociology* 35:21-42.

Brubaker, R. (2012). Religion and nationalism: four approaches. *Nations and Nationalism* 18(1):2-20.

Brubaker, R. (2017). Between nationalism and civilizationism: the European populist moment in comparative perspective. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 40(8):1191–1226.

Burton, M., P.J. Watson, N. Quinn and C. Webster (1994). Academic employment of women in anthropology. *Anthropology Newsletter* (of the American Anthropological Association).

Carman, J. (2011). Stories we tell: myths at the heart of 'community archaeology.' *Archaeologies Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 7(3):490-501.

Carnap, R. (1950). Empiricism, semantics and ontology. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie* 4:20-40.

Cartwright, N. (1983). *How the Laws of Physics Lie*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Castañeda, Q.E. (1996). *In the Museum of Maya Culture. Touring Chichén Itzá.* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Castañeda, Q.E. and C.N. Matthews (eds.) (2008). *Ethnographic Archaeologies: Reflection on Stakeholders and Archaeological Practices*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press.

Chakrabarti, D. (1997). *Colonial Indology: Sociopolitics of the Ancient Indian Past*. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.

Champion, T. (1996). Three nations or one? Britain and the national use of the past. In *Nationalism and archaeology in Europe*. M. Díaz-Andreu and T. Champion, eds. Pp. 119-145. London: UCL Press.

Chapman, J. (1994). Destruction of a common heritage: the archaeology of war in Croatia, Bosnia and Hercegovina. *Antiquity*, 68:120-126.

Chapman, M. (1992). *The Celts: The Construction of a Myth*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Chatterjee, P. (1993). *The Nation and its Fragments*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Chernykh, E.N. (1998). Postscript: Russian archaeology after the collapse of the USSR – infrastructural crisis and the resurgence of old and new nationalisms. In *Nationalism, Politics and the Practice of Archaeology,* Kohl, P. and C. Fawcett (eds.), pp. 139-148.   Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.

Childs, T. (ed.) (2004). *Our Collective Responsibility: The Ethics and Practice of Archaeological Collections Stewardship*. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Chippindale, C. (ed.) (1990). *Who Owns Stonehenge?* London: Batsford.

Chippindale, C. & D. Gill (2000). Material consequences of contemporary Classical collecting. *American Journal of Archaeology* 104(3):463-511.

Christenson, A. (ed.) (1989). *Tracing Archaeology's Past: The Historiography of Archaeology*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

Clack, T. and M. Brittain (eds.) (2007). *Archaeology and the Media*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Cleere, H.S. (ed.) (1984). *Approaches to the Archaeological Heritage*. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.

Cleere, H.S. (1989). Introduction: the rationale of archaeological heritage management. In *Archaeological Heritage Management in the Modern World*, H.F. Cleere (ed.), Pp. 1-19. London: Unwin Hyman.

Cohn, B. (1996). *Colonialism and its Forms of Knowledge: The British in India*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Cole, S. and J. Cole (1967). Scientific output and recognition: a study in the operation of the reward system in science. *American Sociological Review* 32:377-390.

Collingwood, R.G. (1946). *The Idea of History*. Oxford: Clarendon.

Collins, H.M. and S. Yearly (1992). Epistemological chicken. In *Science as Practice and Culture,* A. Pickering (ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Colwell-Chanthaphonh, C. and T.J. Ferguson (eds.) (2008). *Collaboration in Archaeological Practice: Engaging Descendant Communities*. Lanham, MD:

AltaMira Press.

Connerton, P. (2008). Seven types of forgetting. *Memory Studies* 1(1):59-71.

Connerton, P. (2009). *How Modernity Forgets*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Coombes, A. E. (1997). *Reinventing Africa: Museums, Material Culture, and Popular Imagination in Late Victorian and Edwardian England*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Corbey, R. and W. Roebucks (eds.) (2001). *Studying Human Origins. Disciplinary History and Epistemology*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

Crooke, E. (2000). *Politics, Archaeology, and the Creation of a National Museum in Ireland: An Expression of National Life*. Dublin: Irish Academic Press.

Crumley, C. (1991). Region, nation, history. *Excursus* 4: 3-8.

Curta, F. (2001). Pots, Slavs and 'imagined communities': Slavic archaeologies and the history of the Early Slavs. *European Journal of Archaeology* 4(3):367-384.

Dalglish, C. (ed.) (2013). *Archaeology, the Public and the Recent Past*. Woodbridge, UK: Boydell.

Daston, L. (editor) (2000). *Biographies of Scientific Objects*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

de Haan, N., M. Eickhoff, and M. Schwegman (2008). Archaeology and national identity in Italy and Europe 1800-1950: an introduction. *Fragmenta: Journal of the Royal Netherlands Institute in Rome* 2:1-5.

de Jong, F. and M. Rowlands (eds.) (2007). *Reclaiming Heritage: Alternative Imaginaries of Memory in West Africa*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Deloria, V. Jr. (1992). Indians, archaeologists and the future. *American Antiquity* 57:595-598.

Deloria, V. Jr. (1995). *Red Earth, White Lies*. New York: Scribner.

Demoule, J.-P. (1992). Lascaux. In *Les lieux de mémoires*, vol. III (3), edited by P. Nora, pp. 237-271. Paris: Gallimard.

Demoule, J.-P. (1999). Ethnicity, culture and identity: French archaeologists and historians. *Antiquity* 73:190-198.

Derry, L. and M. Malloy (eds.) (2003). *Archaeologists and Local Communities: Partners in Exploring the Past*. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Desrochers, N. et al. (2018). Authorship, citations, acknowledgments and visibility in social media: Symbolic capital in the multifaceted reward system of science. *Social Science Information* 57(2):223-248.

Díaz-Andreu, M. (1993). Theory and ideology in archaeology: Spanish archaeology under the Franco regime. *Antiquity* 67:74-82.

Díaz-Andreu, M. 1996. Islamic archaeology and the origin of the Spanish nation. In *Archaeology and Nationalism in Europe*, edited by M. Díaz-Andreu and T. Champion, pp. 68-89. London: UCL Press.

Díaz-Andreu, M. (1998) Archaeology and nationalism in Spain. In *Nationalism, Politics, and the Practice of Archaeology*, P. Kohl and C. Fawcett (eds.), pp. 39-56. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Díaz-Andreu, M. (2007). *A World History of Nineteenth-Century Archaeology: Nationalism, Colonialism, and the Past*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Díaz-Andreu, M. 2009. Childe and the International Congresses of Archaeology. *European Journal of Archaeology* 12 (1-3):91-122.

Díaz-Andreu, M. (2020). *A History of Archaeological Tourism. Pursuing Leisure and Knowledge from the Eighteenth Century to World War II*. New York: Springer.

Díaz-Andreu, M. and T.C. Champion (eds.) (1998). *Nationalism and Archaeology in Europe*. Boulder: Westview Press.

Díaz-Andreu, M. and M.L. Stig-Sørensen (eds.) (1998). *Excavating Women: A History of Women in European Archaeology*. London: Routledge

Dietler, M. (2001). American archaeology at the Millennium: a user's guide. *Revista d'Arqueologia de Ponent, Universitat de Lleida* 11:7-20.

Dietler, M. (2005). The archaeology of colonization and the colonization of archaeology: theoretical challenges from an ancient Mediterranean colonial encounter. In *The Archaeology of Colonial Encounters: Comparative Perspectives*, edited by Gil Stein. Santa Fe: SAR Press.

Dietler, Michael. (2010). *Archaeologies of Colonialism: Consumption, Entanglement, and Violence in Ancient Mediterranean France*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Dirks, N. (1992). Introduction: colonialism and culture. In *Colonialism and Culture*, edited by N.B. Dirks, pp. 1-25. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Dominguez, V. (1986). The marketing of heritage. *American Ethnologist* 13:546-555.

Dongoske, K. M. Aldendorfer, and K. Doehner (eds.) (2000). *Working Together: Native Americans and Archaeologists*. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Drennan, R.O. and S. Mora (eds.) (2001). *Archaeological Research and Heritage Preservation in the Americas*. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Duara, P. (1995). *Rescuing History from the Nation: Questioning Narratives of Modern China*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Dubois, M. (2017). «Cela nous a échappé...»: théorie de l'acteur-réseau et le problème des générations scientifiques. *Social Science Information* 56(1):107–141.

Duke, P. (2007). *The Tourists Gaze, the Cretans Glance: Archaeology and Tourism on a Greek Island*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Eagleton, T. (1991). *Ideology: An Introduction.* London: Verso.

Edgeworth, M. (2003). *Acts of Discovery: An Ethnography of Archaeological Practice*. Oxford: Archaeopress (BAR S1131).

Edgeworth, M. (ed.) (2006). *Ethnographies of Archaeological Practice: Cultural Encounters, Material Transformations*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira.

Effros, B. (2012). *Uncovering the Germanic Past: Merovingian Archaeology in France, 1830-1914*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Effros, B. (2016). Museum building in nineteenth-century Algeria: colonial narratives in French collections of Classical antiquities. *Journal of the History of Collections* 28(2):243-259.

Effros, B. & G. Lai (eds.) (2018). *Unmasking Ideology in Imperial and Colonial Archaeology: Vocabulary, Symbols, and Legacy*. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA Cotsen Institute of Archaeology.

Eickhoff, M. (2005). German archaeology and National Socialism. Some historiographical remarks. *Archaeological Dialogues* 12(1):73-90.

Emberling, G. (ed.) (2010). *Pioneers to the Past: American Archaeologists in the Middle East, 1919-1920*. Chicago: The Oriental Institute.

Evans-Pritchard, D. 1993. Ancient art in modern context. *Annals of Tourism Research* 20 (1):9-31.

Fagan, G.G. (ed.) (2006). *Archaeological Fantasies: How Pseudoarchaeology Misrepresents the Past and Misleads the Public*. London: Routledge.

Fahnestock, P.J. (1984). History and theoretical development: the importance of a critical historiography of archaeology. *Archaeological Review from Cambridge* 3: 7-18.

Fazioli, K. P. (2012). From First Reich to Third Reich: German imperialism and Early Medieval scholarship in the southeastern Alpine region (c. 1919–1945). *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 8 (2):116-144.

Ferguson, T.J. (1996). Native Americans and the practice of archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 25:63-79.

Fischer, D.H. (1970). *Historians' Fallacies: Toward a Logic of Historical Thought*. New York: Harper Torchbooks.

Flannery, K. V. (2006). On the resilience of anthropological archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 35:1-13.

Fleury-Ilett, B. (1993). The identity of France: the archaeological interaction. *Journal of European Archaeology* 1.2:169-180.

Fontein, J. (2006). *The Silence of Great Zimbabwe: Contested Landscapes and the Power of Heritage*. Walnut Creek,CA: Left Coast Press.

Forsyth, C. (ed.) (2000). *Topics in Cultural Resource Law*. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Foucault, M. (1972). *The Archaeology of Knowledge, and The Discourse on Language*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Fotiadis, M. (1993). Regions of the imagination: archaeologists, local people, and the archaeological record in fieldwork, Greece. *Journal of European Archaeology* 1.2:151-170.

Fowler, D.D. (1987). Uses of the past: archaeology in the service of the state. *American Antiquity* , 52:229-248.

Fowler, P.J. (1992). *The Past in Contemporary Society: Then, Now*. London: Routledge.

Fowles, S. (2016). The perfect subject. *Journal of Material Culture* 21(1): 9-27.

Freeman, L. G. (1994). The many faces of Altamira. *Complutum*, 5:331-342.

Friedman, J. (1992). The past in the future: history and the politics of identity. *American Anthropologist*, 94:837-859.

Funari, P. P. A. and I. Podgorny (1998). Is archaeology only ideologically biased rhetoric? Congress review. *European Journal of Archaeology* 1(3):416-424.

Galaty, M. L. and C. Watkinson (eds.) (2004). *Archaeology Under Dictatorship*. New York: Kluwer/Plenum

Galison, P. (1987). *How Experiments End*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Galison, P. and D. J. Stump (editors). 1996. *The Disunity of Science: Boundaries, Contexts, and Power*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Gänger, S. (2014). *Relics of the Past: The Collecting and Study of Pre-Columbian Antiquities in Peru and Chile, 1837-1911*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Garrow, D. and T. Yarrow (eds.) (2010). *Archaeology and Anthropology: Understanding Similarity, Exploring Difference*. Oxford: Oxbow.

Gathercole, P. and D. Lowenthal (eds.) (1990). *The Politics of the Past*. London: Routledge.

Gero, J. M. (1985). Socio-politics and the woman-at-home ideology. *American Antiquity* 50(2):342-350.

Gill, D. W. J. (2011). Excavating under gunfire: archaeologists in the Aegean during the First World War. *Public Archaeology* 10(4):187-199.

Gingras, Y. (1995). Un air de radicalisme. Sur quelques tendences récentes de la sociologie de la science et de la technologie. *Actes de la Recherche en Sciences Sociales* 108:3-17.

Given, M. (1998). Inventing the Eteocypriots: imperialist archaeology and the manipulation of ethnic identity. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 11(1):3-29.

Godin, B. (2009). The value of science: changing conceptions of scientific productivity, 1869 to circa 1970. *Social Science Information* 48(4):547–586.

Golden, M. and P. Toohey (eds.) (1997). *Inventing Ancient Culture: Historicism, Periodization, and the Ancient World*. London: Routledge.

González-Ruibal, A. (2010). Fascist colonialism: the archaeology of Italian outposts in Western Ethiopia (1936–41). *International Journal of Historical Archaeology* 14(4):547-574.

González-Ruibal, A. and G. Moshenska (eds.). (2015). *Ethics and the Archaeology of Violence*. New York: Springer.

Goody, J. (2012). *The Theft of History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Graham, B. and P. Howard (eds.) (2008) *The Ashgate Research Companion to Heritage and Identity*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate.

Gramsch, A. (2010). Different languages. An interview on archaeology in Germany with Friedrich Lüth. *Archaeological Dialogues* 17(2):199-214.

Gramsch, A. and U. Sommer (eds.) (2011). *A History of Central European Archaeology: Theory, Methods, and Politics*. Budapest: Archaeolingua Foundation.

Graves-Brown, P., S. Jones and C. Gamble (eds.) (1996). *Cultural Identity and Archaeology: The Construction of European Communities.* London: Routledge.

Greenberg, R. (2015). Ethics in action: a viewpoint from Istael/Palestine. In *Ethics and the Archaeology of Violence*. A. González-Ruibal and G. Moshenska, eds. New York: Springer.

Greenland, F. A. R. (2014). *Ruling Culture: Tomb Robbers, State Power, and the Struggle for Italian Antiquities*. Ann Arbor, MI: Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Michigan.

Hacking, I. (1983). *Representing and Intervening: Introductory Topics in the Philosophy of Natural Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hacking, I. (1990). *The Taming of Chance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Halbwachs, M. (1950). *The Collective Memory*. New York: Harper Colophon Books.

Halbwachs, M. (1992). *On Collective Memory*. (transl. L.W. Coser). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hall, M. (1990). 'Hidden history': Iron Age archaeology in Southern Africa. In *A History of African Archaeology*, P. Robertshaw (ed.), Pp. 59-77. Portsmouth (N.H.): Heineman.

Hall, M. (1995). Great Zimbabwe and the Lost City: the cultural colonization of the South African Past. In *Theory in Archaeology: A World Perspective*. P. Ucko (ed.), Pp. 28-45. London: Routledge.

Hall, M. (2000). *Archaeology and the Modern World: Colonial Transcripts in South Africa and the Chesapeake*. London: Routledge.

Halle, U. (2005). Archaeology in the Third Reich. Academic scholarship and the rise of the 'lunatic fringe'. *Archaeological Dialogues* 12(1):91-102.

Hamilakis, Y. (1999) La trahison des archéologues? Archaeological practice as intellectual activity in postmodernity. *Journal of Mediterranean archaeology* 12(1): 60–79.

Hamilakis, Y. (1999). Stories from exile: fragments from the cultural biography of the Parthenon (or 'Elgin') marbles. *World Archaeology* 31:303-320.

Hamilakis, Y. (2000). Cyberspace/cyberpast/cybernation: constructing Hellenism in hyperreality. *European Journal of Archaeology* 3:241-264.

Hamilakis, Y. (2001). Monumental visions: Bonfils, classical antiquity and 19th-century Athenian society. *History of Photography* 25:5-12, 23-43.

Hamilakis, Y. (2004). Archaeology and the politics of pedagogy. *World Archaeology* 36(2):287-309.

Hamilakis, Y. (2008). Decolonizing Greek archaeology: indigenous archaeologies, modernist archaeologies and the post-colonial critique. In *A Singular Antiquity: Archaeology and Hellenic Identity in Twentieth-Century Greece*, edited by D. Damaskos & D. Plntzos, pp. 273-284. Athens: Benaki Museum.

Hamilakis, Y. (2009). *The Nation and its Ruins: Antiquity, Archaeology, and National Imagination in Greece*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hamilakis, Y. and P. Duke (eds.) (2007). *Archaeology and Capitalism: From Ethics to Politics*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Hamilakis, Y. and E. Yalouri (1996). Antiquities as symbolic capital in modern Greek society. *Antiquity* 70:117-129.

Handler, R. (1985). On having a culture: nationalism and the preservation of Quebec's *patrimoine*. In *Objects and Others: Essays on Museums and Material Culture*, edited by G. Stocking, History of Anthropology, Vol. 3.

Handler, R. and E. Gable (1997). *The New History in an Old Museum: Creating the Past at Colonial Williamsburg*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Handler, R. and J. Linnekin (1984). Tradition, genuine or spurious. *Journal of American Folklore*, 97:273-290.

Harding, S. (ed.) (1993). *The 'Racial' Economy of Science: Toward a Democratic Future*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Harding, S. (2008). *Sciences from Below: Feminisms, Postcolonialities, and Modernities*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Harding, S. (2015). *Objectivity and Diversity: Another Logic of Scientific Research*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Härke, H. (1991). All quiet on the Western Front? Paradigms, methods and approaches in West German archaeology.   In *Archaeological Theory in Europe: The Last Three Decades*, I. Hodder (ed.), Pp. 187-222. London: Routledge.

Härke, H. (1995). 'The Hun is a methodical chap': reflections on the German tradition of pre- and proto-history. In *Theory in Archaeology: A World Perspective*. P. Ucko (ed.), pp. 46-60. London: Routledge.

Härke, H. (ed.) (2000). *Archaeology, Ideology, and Society: The German Experience*. Frankfurt: Lang.

Hare, J. L. (2014). Nazi archaeology abroad: German prehistorians and the international dynamics of collaboration. *Patterns of Prejudice* 48(1):1-24.

Hare, J. L. (2015). *Excavating Nations: Archaeology, Museums, and the German-Danish Borderlands*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Harrold, F.B. and R.A. Eve (eds.) (1987). *Cult Archaeology and Creationism: Understanding Pseudoscientific Beliefs about the Past*. University of Iowa Press.

Hassan, F. (1997). Beyond the surface: comments on Hodder's 'reflexive excavation methodology'. *Antiquity* 71:1020-1025.

Herzfeld, M. (1986). *Ours Once More: Folklore, Ideology and the Making of Modern Greece*. Pella.

Herzfeld, M. (1987). *Anthropology through the Looking-Glass: Critical Ethnography in the Margins of Europe*. Cambridge: CUP.

Herzfeld, M. (2014). Heritage and corruption: the two faces of the nation state. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*. Pp. 1-14.

Hesselmann, F. & C. Schendzielorz (2019). Evaluations as value-measurement links: Exploring metrics and meanings in science. *Social Science Information* 58(2):282–300.

Hewison, R. (1987). *The Heritage Industry*. London: Methuen.

Hingley, R. (2000). *Roman Officers and English Gentlemen: The Imperial Origins of Roman Archaeology*. London: Routledge.

Hingley, R. (2008). *The Recovery of Roman Britain 1586-1906: A Colony So Fertile*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hobsbawm, E. (1990). *Nations and Nationalism since 1780. Programme, Myth, Reality*. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.

Hobsbawm, E. and T. Ranger (eds.) (1983). *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge: Canto.

Holl, A. (1990). West African archaeology: colonialism and nationalism. In *A History of African Archaeology*, P. Robertshaw (ed.), Pp. 296-308. Heineman, Portsmouth (N.H.).

Holtorf, C. (2007). *Archaeology is a Brand: The Meaning of Archaeology in Contemporary Popular Culture*. Oxford: Archaeopress.

Hubert, J. (1989). A proper place for the dead: a critical review of the 'reburial' issue. In *Conflict in the Archaeology of Living Traditions*, R. Layton (ed.), Pp. 131-166. London: Unwin Hyman.

Hutson, S.R. (2006) Self-citation in archaeology. Age, gender, prestige and the self. *Journal of archaeological method and theory* 13(1), 1–18.

Hutton, C. M. (2005). *Race and the Third Reich: Linguistics, Racial Anthropology and Genetics in the Dialectic of* Volk. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Jacobs, J. A. and S. Frickel (2009). Interdisciplinarity: a critical assessment. *Annual Review of Sociology* 35:43–65.

James, S. (1998). Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology. *Antiquity* 72(275):200-209.

Jameson, J.H. (ed.) (1997). *Presenting Archaeology to the Public: Digging for Truths*. Walnut Creek: Altamira.

Joffe, A. (2003). Identity/crisis. *Archaeological Dialogues* 10(1): 77-95.

Johnson, N. (1995). Cast in stone: monuments, geography, and nationalism. *Environment and Planning. D, Society and Space* 13(1):51-65.

Jones, S. (1997). *The Archaeology of Ethnicity: Constructing Identities in the Past and Present*. London: Routledge.

Junker, K. (1998). Research under dictatorship: The German Archaeological Institute 1929-1945. *Antiquity* 72:282-292.

Kane, S. (ed.) (2003). *The Politics of Archaeology and Identity in a Global Context*. Boston: Archaeological Institute of America, Colloquia and Conference Papers, 7.

Katrie, T. (1997). *Performing the Past: A Study of Israeli Settlement Museums*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Kawa, N.C., et al. 2018. The social network of US academic anthropology and its inequalities. *American Anthropologist* 121 (1):14-29.

Kehoe, A. (1990). 'In fourteen hundred and ninety-two, Columbus sailed...': the primacy of the national myth in US schools. In *The Excluded Past: Archaeology in Education*, P. Stone and R. MacKenzie (eds.), Pp. 201-216. London: Unwin Hyman.

Kehoe, A. B. (2015). *The Land of Prehistory: A Critical History of American Archaeology*. New York: Routledge.

Kerber, J. E. (ed.) (2006). *Cross-Cultural Collaboration: Native Peoples and Archaeology in the Northeastern United States*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.

Kersal, M.M. (2008). The trade in Palestinian antiquities. *Jerusalem Quarterly* 33:21-38.

Kersal, M. (2008). Imperial intersections: archaeologists, war and violence—comments. *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* DOI 10.1007/s11759-008-9079-3.

Kersal, M. M. (2010). The changing legal landscape for Middle Eastern archaeology in the colonial era, 1800-1930. In *Pioneers to the Past: American Archaeologists in the Middle East, 1919-1920*. G. Emberling, ed. Pp. 85-90. Chicago: The Oriental Institute.

Kersal, M. M. (2012). The power of the press: the effects of press releases and popular magazines on the antiquities trade. In *Archaeology, Bible Politics, and the Media. Proceedings of the Duke University Conference, April 23-24, 2009*. E.M. Meyers and C. Meyers, eds. Pp. 73-83. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.

Kersal, M. & M.S. Chesson (2013). Tomato season in the Ghor Es-Safi: a lesson in community archaeology. *Near Eastern Archaeology* 76 (3):159-165.

Kersal, M. M., C. Luke, and C. H. Roosevelt (2008). Valuing the past: perceptions of archaeological practice in Lydia and the Levant. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 8(3):298-319.

Killion, T. W. (ed.) (2008). *Opening Archaeology: Repatriation's Impact onContemporary Research and Practice*. Santa Fe, NM: School for Advanced Study Press.

Kim, K.-M. (2009). What would a Bourdieuan sociology of scientific truth look like? *Social Science Information* 48(1):57-79.

King, T.F. (2003). *Places that Count: Traditional Cultural Properties in Cultural Resource Management*. Walnut Creek: Altamira.

Klaniczay, G., M. Werner and O. Gecser (eds.) (2011). *Multiple Antiquities -- Multiple Modernities: Ancient Histories in Nineteenth Century European Cultures*. Frankfurt: Campus Verlag.

Knorr-Cetina, K. (ed.) (1983). *Science Observed: Perspectives in the Social Study of Science*. London: Sage.

Knorr-Cetina, K. (1999). *Epistemic Cultures : How the Sciences Make Knowledge*. Cambridge Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Kohl, P. (1993). Nationalism, politics and the practice of archaeology in Soviet Transcaucasia. *Journal of European Archaeology*, 2: 179-186.

Kohl, P. (1998). Nationalism and archaeology: on the constructions of nations and the reconstructions of the remote past. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 27:223-246.

Kohl, P. and C. Fawcett (eds.) (1998). *Nationalism, Politics and the Practice of Archaeology.* Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.

Kohl, P. and J. A. Pérez Gollán (2002). Religion, politics, and prehistory: reassessing the lingering legacy of Oswald Menghin. *Current Anthropology* 43(4):561-586.

Kristiansen, K. (1993). 'The strength of the past and its great might'; an essay on the use of the past. *Journal of European Archaeology*, 1:3-32.

Kuklick, H. (1991). Contested monuments: the politics of archaeology in Southern Africa. In *Colonial Situations*, G. Stocking (ed.), History of Anthropology, Vol. 7, Pp. 135-169. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Kuklick, H. (1997). After Ishmael: the fieldwork tradition and its future. In *Anthropological Locations: Boundaries and Grounds of a Field Science*, A. Gupta and J. Ferguson (eds.). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Kuhn, T. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lähdesmäki, U., S. Raninen, and K. Nordqvist (eds.) (2013). *Archaeology in Society and Daily Life: Challenges and Co-operation in the 21th Century*. Tampere: Pirkanmaa Provincial Museum.

Lampeter Archaeology Workshop (1997). Relativism, objectivity and the politics of the past. *Archaeological Dialogues* 4.2:164-184). Plus commentary in *Archaeological Dialogues* 4.2:185-194 and 5.1:30-53.

Latour, B. (1988). *The Pasteurization of France*. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press.

Latour, B. and S. Woolgar (1979). *Laboratory Life: The Social Construction of Scientific Facts*. Beverly Hills: Sage.

Layton, R.L. (ed.) (1989). *Who Needs the Past? Indigenous Values and Archaeology.* London: Unwin Hyman.

Layton, R., P. G. Stone and J. Thomas (eds.) (2001). *Destruction and Conservation of Cultural Property*. New York: Routledge.

Lazrus, P.K. and A.W. Barker (editors) (2012). *All the King's Horses: Essays on the Impact of Looting and the Illicit Antiquities Trade on Our Knowledge of the Past*. Washington, DC: SAA Press.

Leahey, E. (2016). From sole investigator to team scientist: trends in the practice and study of research collaboration. *Annual Review of Sociology* 42:81-100.

Lefkowitz, M., M. Rogers and G.M. Rogers (eds.) (1996). *Black Athena Revisited*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Legendre, J.-P. (1999). Archaeology and ideological propaganda in annexed Alsace (1940-1944). *Antiquity* 73:184-190.

Legendre, J.-P., L. Olivier, and B. Schnitzler (eds.) (2007). *L'archéologie nationale socialiste dans les pays occupés à l'ouest du Reich: Actes de la table ronde internationale « Blut und Boden », Lyon (Rhône), Xe congrès de la European Association of Archaeologists (EAA), les 8 et 9 septembre 2004*. Gollion: Infolio.

Leone, M.P., B.P. Porter and P. Shackel (1987). Toward a critical archaeology. *Current Anthropology*, 28:283-302.

Linnekin, J. (1991). Cultural invention and the dilemma of authenticity. *American Anthropologist*, 93:446-449.

Lippert, D. (2006). Building a bridge to cross a thousand years. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):431-440.

Lowenthal, D. (1985). *The Past is a Foreign Country*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lowenthal, D. 1988. Classical antiquities as national and global heritage. *Antiquity* 62:726-735.

Lowenthal, D. (1998). *The Heritage Crusade and the Spoils of History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Luke, C. and M. Kersal (2013). *US Cultural Diplomacy and Archaeology: Soft Power, Hard Heritage*. New York: Routledge.

Lynch, M. (1985). *Art and Artifact in Laboratory Science: A Study of Shop Work and Shop Talk in a Research Laboratory*. London: Routledge.

Lynch, M. (1993). *Scientific Practice and Ordinary Action: Ethnomethodology and Social Studies of Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lynott, M.J. and A. Wylie (eds.) (2000). *Ethics in American Archaeology*. 2nd revised edition. Washington, DC: Society for American Archaeology Press.

Marchand, S. (1996). Orientalism as *Kulturpolitik*: German archaeology and

cultural imperialism in Asia Minor. In *Volksgeist as Method and Ethic: Essays on Boasian Ethnography and the German Anthropological Tradition*. G. Stocking, ed. Pp. 298-336. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.

Marchand, S. (1996). *Down from Olympus: Archaeology and Philhellenism in Germany, 1750-1970*. Princeton University Press, Princeton,

Marchand, S. (2000). The quarrels of the Ancients and Moderns in the German museums. In *Museums and Memory*. S.A. Crane, ed. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Marchand, S. (2004). Philhellenism and the *furor orientalis*. *Modern Intellectual History* 1(3):331-358.

Marchand, S. (2008). *Kultur* and the World War. In *Histories of Archaeology: A Reader in the History of Archaeology*. T. Murray and C. Evans, eds. Pp. 238-278. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Marchand, S. (2009). *German Orientalism in the Age of Empire: Religion, Race, and Scholarship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Marchand, S. (2014). Where does history begin?: J. G. Herder and the problem of Near Eastern chronology in the Age of Enlightenment. *Eighteenth-Century Studies* 47(2):157-175.

Marchand, S. (2015). The Great War and the classical world: GSA Presidential Address, Kansas City, 2014. *German Studies Review* 38(2):239-261.

Marcovich, A. and T. Shinn (2011). Where is disciplinarity going? Meeting on the borderland. *Social Science Information* 50(3-4):582–606.

Matthews, C. N. (2002). Is archaeology political? Transformative praxis within and against the boundaries of archaeology. *The Public Historian* 31(2):70-90.

Matthews, C. N., M. P. Leone, and K. A. Jordan (2002). The political economy of archaeological cultures: Marxism and American historical archaeology. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 2(1):109-134.

Mattingly, D.J. (1996). From one colonialism to another: imperialism and the Maghreb. In *Roman Imperialism: Post-colonial Perspectives*, edited by J. Webster and N. Cooper, pp. 49-69. Leicester: Leicester University Press.

McCann, W.J. (1990). 'Volk und Germanentum': the presentation of the past in Nazi Germany. In Gathercole and Lowenthal (1990). Pp. 74-88.

McFeaters, A. P. (2007) The past is how we present it: nationalism and archaeology in Italy from Unification to WWII. *Nebraska Anthropologist* 33:49-62.

McGuire, R. (1992). Archaeology and the first Americans. *American Anthropologist*, 94:816-836.

McGuire, R. (1989). The sanctity of the grave: White concepts and American Indian Burials. In *Conflict in the Archaeology of Living Traditions*, R. Layton (ed.), Pp. 167-184. London: Unwin Hyman.

McGuire, R.H. (2008). *Archaeology as Political Action*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

McNiven, I. J. and L. Russell (eds.) (2005). *Appropriated Pasts: Indigenous Peoples and the Colonial Culture of Archaeology*. Lanham, MD: Altamira Press.

Megaw, J.V.S. and M.R. Megaw (1996). Ancient Celts and modern ethnicity. *Antiquity* 70:175-181.

Merton, R.K. (1957). Priorities in scientific discovery: a chapter in the sociology of science. *American Sociological Review* 22:635-659.

Meskell, L. (ed.) (1998). *Archaeology Under Fire: Nationalism, Politics and Heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East*. London: Routledge.

Meskell, L. (2002). The intersections of identity and politics in archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 31:279-301.

Meyerhoff, H. (ed.) (1959). *The Philosophy of History in Our Time*. New York: Doubleday Anchor Books.

Meyers, E. M. and C. Meyers (eds.) (2012). *Archaeology, Bible Politics, and the Media. Proceedings of the Duke University Conference, April 23-24, 2009*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.

Mihesuah, D.A. (ed.) (2000). *Repatriation Reader: Who Owns American Indian Remains?* Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.

Mishra, S. et al. (2018). Self-citation is the hallmark of productive authors, of any gender. *PLoS ONE* 13 (9):e0195773.

Morales-Moreno, L.G. (1994). History and patriotism in the National Museum of Mexico. In *Museums and the Making of "Ourselves": The Role of Objects in National Identity*, F.E.S. Kaplan (ed.), Pp. 171-191. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Morris, I. (1994). Archaeologies of Greece. In *Classical Greece: Ancient Histories and Modern Archaeologies,* edited by I. Morris, pp. 8-47. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Morris, I. (2000). *Archaeology as Cultural History*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Moser, S. (1996). Science, stratigraphy and the deep sequence: excavation vs regional survey and the question of gendered practice in archaeology. *Antiquity* 70:813-823.

Murphey, M.G. (1994). *Philosophical Foundations of Historical Knowledge*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Murray, T. (1989). The history, philosophy and sociology of archaeology: the case of the Ancient Monuments Protection Act (1882). In *Critical Traditions in Contemporary Archaeology*, edited by V. Pinsky and A. Wylie, pp. 55-67.

Murray, T. (1993). Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past? In *Archaeological Theory: Who Sets the Agenda?*, N. Yoffee and A. Sherratt (eds.), Pp. 105-116. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.

Murray, T. and C. Evans (eds.) (2008). *Histories of Archaeology: A Reader in the History of Archaeology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Nelson, M.C., S.M. Nelson and A. Wylie (editors) (1994). *Equity Issues for Women in Archaeology*. Washington DC: Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association, no. 5.

Nicholas, G.P. and K.P. Bannister (2004). Copyrighting the past? Emerging intellectual property rights issues in archaeology. *Current Anthropology* 45: 327-350.

Nora, P. (ed.) (1992). *Les Lieux de Mémoire*. (see especially vol. III: articles by Demoule, etc.) N.B. These volumes are now translated into English as *Realms of Memory*.

Novakovic, P. (2002). Archaeology in five states—a peculiarity of just another story at the crossroads of 'Mitteleuropa' and the Balkans: a case study of Slovene archaeology. In *Archaeologies of Europe: History, Methods, and Theories*, edited by P. Biehl, A. Gramsch and A. Marciniak, pp. 323-352. Münster: Waxmann.

Olivier, L. (1998). L'archéologie française et le Régime de Vichy (1940-1944). *European Journal of Archaeology* 1:241-264.

Olsen, B. J. (2001). The end of history? Archaeology and the politics of identity in a globalized world. In *Destruction and Conservation of Cultural Property*. R. Layton, P.G. Stone, and J. Thomas, eds. Pp. 42-54. New York: Routledge.

Oulebsir, N. (2004) *Les usages du patrimoine. Monuments, musées et politique coloniale en Algérie (1830-1930)*. Paris: Éditions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme.

Oyuela-Caycedo, A. (1994). Nationalism and archaeology: a theoretical perspective. In *History of Latin American Archaeology*, A. Oyuela-Caycedo (ed.), Pp. 3-21. Aldershot: Avebury.

Patterson, T.C. (1986). The last sixty years: toward a social history of Americanist archaeology in the United States. *American Anthropologist* 88:7-26.

Patterson, T.C. (1995). *Towards a Social History of Archaeology in the United States*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.

Patterson, T.C. (2001). *A Social History of Anthropology in the United States*. New York: Berg.

Palus, M. M. M. P. Leone, and M. D. Cochran (2006). Critical archaeology: politics past and present. In *Historical Archaeology*. M. Hall and S.W. Silliman, eds. Pp. 84-106. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Pickering, A. (ed.) (1992). *Science as Practice and Culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Piggott, S. (1968). *The Druids*. London: Thames and Hudson.

Piggott, S. (1989). *Ancient Britons and the Antiquarian Imagination*. London: Thames and Hudson.

Pinsky, V. and A. Wylie (eds.) (1995). *Critical Traditions in Contemporary Archaeology: Essays in the Philosophy, History and Socio-Politics of Archaeology*. Albequerque: University of New Mexico Press.

Planel, P. (1990). New Archaeology, New History -- when will they meet? Archaeology in English secondary schools. In *The Excluded Past: Archaeology in Education*, P. Stone and R. MacKenzie (eds.), Pp. 271-281. London: Unwin Hyman.

Pluciennik, M. (ed.) (2001). *The Responsibilities of Archaeologists: Archaeology and Ethics*. Oxford: Archaeopress (BAR S981).

Pluciennik, M. (2015). Authoritative and ethical voices. From *diktat* to the demotic. In *Subjects and Narratives in Archaeology*, R. Van Dyke and R. Bernbeck (eds.), pp. 55-82. Boulder, CO: The University of Colorado Press.

Politis, G. (1995). The socio-politics of the development of archaeology in Hispanic South America. In *Theory in Archaeology: A World Perspective*. P. Ucko (ed.), Pp. 197-235. London: Routledge.

Popa, C. N. (2016). The significant past and insignificant archaeologists. Who informs the public about their 'national' past? The case of Romania. *Archaeological Dialogues* 23(1): 28-39.

Popper, K. (1957). *The Poverty of Historicism*. Boston: Beacon.

Pyburn, K.A. (1998). Consuming the Maya. *Dialectical Anthropology* 23:111-129.

Rabinow, P. (1991). For hire: resolutely late modern. In *Recapturing Anthropology: Working in the Present*, edited by R.G. Fox, pp. 59-72. Santa Fe: SAR Press.

Raina, U. (2007). *Intellectual Imperialism in the Andes: German Anthropologists and Archaeologists in Peru, 1870–1930*. Philadelphia: PhD Dissertation, Temple University.

Ratnagar, S. (2004). Archaeology at the heart of a political confrontation: the case of Ayodhya. *Current Anthropology* 45.

Reichenbach, H. (1959). *Modern Philosophy of Science: Selected Essays Translated and Edited by Maria Reichenbach*. London: Routledge.

Reid, D.M. (2002). *Whose Pharaohs? Archaeology, Museums, and Egyptian National Identity from Napoleon to World War I*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Renan, E. (1990) [1882]. What is a nation? In *Nation and Narration,* edited by H. K. Bhabha, pp. 8-22. London: Routledge.

Reyman, J. (ed.) (1992). *Rediscovering Our* Past: *Essays on the History of American Archaeology*. Aldershot: Avebury.

Richard, N. (2002). Archaeological arguments in national debates in late 19th-century France: Gabriel de Mortillet's *La Formation de la nation française* (1897). *Antiquity* 76:177-184.

Richardson, L. (1989). The acquisition, storage and handling of Aboriginal skeletal remains in museums: an indigenous perspective. In *Conflict in the Archaeology of Living Traditions*, R. Layton (ed.), Pp. 185-188. London: Unwin Hyman.

Richman, J.R. and M. Forsyth (eds.) (2004). *Legal Perspectives on Cultural Resources*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.

Ricoeur, P. (2004). *Memory, History, Forgetting*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Ridley, R. (1992). *The Eagle and the Spade: Archaeology in Rome during the Napoleonic Era*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Roche, H. (2017). The peculiarities of German philhellenism. *The Historical Journal* doi:10.1017/50018246X17000322:1-20.

Rose-Greenland, F. (2015). ISIS at the Mosul Museum: material destruction and our moral economies of the past. *Perspectives: A Publication of the Theory Section of the American Sociological Association* 37(1):18-21.

Rowan, Y. and U. Baram (eds.) (2004). *Marketing Heritage: Archaeology and the Consumption of the Past*.Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.

Rowlands, M. (1994). The politics of identity in archaeology. In *Social Construction of the Past: Representation as Power*, G.C. Bond and A. Gilliam (eds.), Pp. 129-143, London: Routledge.

Rowley-Conwy, P. (2007). *From Genesis to Prehistory: The Archaeological Three Age System and its Contested Reception in Denmark, Britain, and Ireland*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rubertone, P. (ed.) (2008). *Archaeologies of Placemaking: Monuments, Memories, and Engagement in Native North America*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Ruiz, A., A. Sánchez, and J.P. Bellón (2002). The history of Iberian archaeology: one archaeology for two Spains. *Antiquity* 76:184-190.

Said, E. (1979). *Orientalism*. New York: Routledge.

Said, E. (1993). *Culture and Imperialism*. New York: Knopf.

Sankey, H. (2011) Epistemic relativism and the problem of the criterion. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 42:562–570.

Sardet, N.P. (ed.) (1997). *Le passé recyclé: l'antiquité dans le marketing d'aujourd'hui*. Lausanne: Musée National Suisse.

Scarre, C. and G. Scarre (eds.) (2006). *The Ethics of Archaeology: Philosophical Perspectives on Archaeological Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Scheffler, T. (1998). The Kaiser in Baalbek: tourism, archaeology, and the politics of imagination. In *Baalbek: Image and Monument , 1898-1998*. H. Sader, T. Scheffler, and A. Neuwirth, eds. Pp. 13-49. Beirut: Orient-Institut der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft (Beiruter Texte und Studien 69).

Schlanger, N. (2003). The Burkitt affair revisited: colonial implications and identity politics in early South African prehistoric research. *Archaeological Dialogues* 10(1): 5-55.

Schlanger, N. and K. Aitchison (eds.) (2010). *Archaeology and the Global Economic Crisis: Multiple Impacts, Possible Solutions*. Tervuren: Culture Lab.

Schlanger, N. and J. Nordbladh, (eds.) (2008). *Archives, Ancestors, Practices: Archaeology in the Light of its History*. New York: Berghahn Books.

Schmidt, M. (1999). Reconstruction as ideology: the open air museum at Oerlinghausen, Germany. In *The Constructed Past: Experimental Archaeology, Education and the Public*, P.G. Stone and P. Planel (eds.), pp. 147-156. London: Routledge.

Schmidt, M. and U. Halle (1999). On the folklore of the Externsteine: or a center for Germanomaniacs. In *Archaeology and Folklore*, A. Gazin-Schwartz and C. Holtorf (eds.), pp. 158-174. London: Routledge.

Schmidt, P. R. (2017). Peter Ucko Memorial Lecture, Decolonizing archaeological practice: gazing into the past to transform the future. *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 13(3):392-411.

Schmidt, P. and R. McIntosh (eds.) (1996). *Plundering Africa's Past*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Schmidt, P. and T.C. Patterson (eds.) (1995). *Making Alternative Histories: The Practice of Archaeology and History in Non-Western Settings*. Santa Fe: SAR Press.

Schmidt, P. R. and I. Pikirayi (eds.) (2016). *Community Archaeology and Heritage in Africa: Decolonizing Practice*. New York: Routledge.

Schnapp, A. (1993). *La conquête du passé. Aux origines de l'archéologie*. Paris: Editions Carré. [translated as: *The Discovery of the Past: The Origins of Archaeology*. London: British Museum Press, 1996].

Schnapp, A. (2002). Between antiquarians and archaeologists -- continuities and ruptures. *Antiquity* 76:134-140.

Schnapp, A. (ed.) (2013). *World Antiquarianism: Comparative Perspectives*. Los Angeles: Getty Research Institute.

Shackel, P. A. and E. Chambers (eds.) (2004). *Places in Mind: Public Archaeology as Applied Anthropology*. New York: Routledge.

Shanks, M. (1996). *Classical Archaeology of Greece: Experiences of the Discipline*. London: Routledge.

Shanks, M. (2004). Archaeology and politics. In *The Blackwell Companion to Archaeology*. J. Bintliff, ed. Pp. 490-508. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Shanks, M. and C. Tilley (1987). *Re-Constructing Archaeology: Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Shapin, S. (1982). History of science and its sociological reconstructions. *History of Science* 20:157-211.

Shapin, S. and S. Schaffer (1985). *Leviathan and the Air-Pump: Hobbes, Boyle, and the Experimental Life*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Shaw, C., & M. Chese (eds.) (1989). *The Imagined Past: History and Nostalgia*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Shaw, W. M. K. (2003). *Possessors and Possessed: Museums, Archaeology and the Visualization of History in the Late Ottoman Empire*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Shepherd, N. (2001). The politics of archaeology in Africa. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 31:189-209.

Shepherd, N. (2003). 'When the hand that holds the trowel is black . . .' Disciplinary practices of self-representation and the issue of 'native' labour in archaeology. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 3 (3):334-352.

Shepherd, N. and A Haber (2011). What's Up with WAC? Archaeology and engagement in a globalised world. *Public Archaeology* 10(2):96–115.

Shinn, T. (1988). Hiérarchie des chercheurs et formes de recherches. *Actes de la Recherche en Sciences Sociales* 74:2-22.

Shnirlman, V.A. (1996). *Who Gets the Past? Competition for Ancestors among Non-Russian Intellectuals in Russia*. Washington DC: Woodrow Wislon Center Press.

Silberman, N. (1982). *Digging for God and Country*. New York: Knopf.

Silberman, N. (1989). *Between Past and Present: Archaeology, Ideology, and Nationalism in the Modern Middle East*. New York: Henry Holt.

Silberman, N. (1991). Desolation and restoration: the impact of a Biblical concept on Near Eastern Archaeology. *Biblical Archaeologist*, June 1991:76-87.

Silliman, S. W. (ed.) (2008). *Collaborating at the Trowel's Edge: Teaching and Learning in Indigenous Archaeology*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.

Silverman, H. (1999). Archaeology and the 1997 Peruvian hostage crisis. *Anthropology Today* 15(1):9-13.

Singh, U. (2004). *The Discovery of Ancient India: Early Archaeologists and the Beginnings of Archaeology*. New Dehli: Permanent Black.

Sklenár, K. (1983). *Archaeology in Central Europe: The First 500 Years*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Smith, A. D. (1986). *The Ethnic Origins of Nations*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Smith, A. D. (1991). The nation: invented, imagined, reconstructed? *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 20(3):353-368.

Smith, A.T. (2004). The end of the essential archaeological subject. *Archaeological Dialogues* 11(1): 1-20, plus comments pp. 21-35.

Smith, C. and G. Jackson (2006). Decolonizing indigenous archaeology: developments from Down Under. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):311-349.

Smith, C. and H. M. Wobst (eds.) (2005). *Indigenous Archaeologies: Decolonizing Theory and Practice*. New York: Routledge.

Smith, C. and M.N. Wise (1989). *Energy and Empire: A Biographical Study of Lord Kelvin*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, L. (2004). *Archaeological Theory and the Politics of Cultural Heritage*. London: Routledge.

Smith, L. (2006). *Uses of Heritage*. London: Routledge.

Smith, L. and E. Waterton (2009). *Heritage, Communities and Archaeology*. London: Duckworth.

Smith, S. (2015). Multiple temporalities of knowing in academic research. *Social Science Information* 54(2):149–176.

Sommer, U. (1999). Slavonic archaeology: Groß Raden, an open air museum in a unified Germany. In *The Constructed Past: Experimental Archaeology, Education and the Public*, P.G. Stone and P. Planel (eds.), pp. 157-170. London: Routledge.

Speakman, R.J. et al. (2018). Choosing a path to the ancient world in a modern market: the reality of faculty jobs in archaeology. *American Antiquity* 83 (1):1-12.

Spivak, G.C. (1987). *In Other Words: Essays in Cultural Politics*. New York: Routledge.

Spring, D. (2015). Gaming history: computer and video games as historical scholarship. *Rethinking History: The Journal of Theory and Practice* 19 (2):207-221.

Steinmetz, G. (ed.) (2005). *The Politics of Method in the Human Sciences*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Stocking, G. (ed.) (1985). *Objects and Others: Essays on Museums and Material Culture*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin University Press.

Stocking, G. (1987). *Victorian Anthropology*. New York: Free Press.

Stone, P.G. and B.L. Molyneaux (eds.) (1994). *The Presented Past: Heritage, Museums and Archaeology*. London: Routledge.

Stone, P.G. and P. Planel (eds.) (1999). *The Constructed Past: Experimental Archaeology, Education and the Public*. London: Routledge.

Stump, D. (1996). From epistemology and metaphysics to concrete connections. In *The Disunity of Science*, edited by P. Galison and D.J. Stump, pp. 255-286. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Tambiah, S.J. (1988). Ethnic conflict in the world today. *American Ethnologist*, 16: 335-349.

Thomas, D.H. (2000). *Skull Wars: Kennewick Man, Archaeology, and the Battle for Native American Identity*. New York: Basic Books.

Thompson, K.F. (2011). The Navajo Nation, Diné archaeologists, Diné archaeology, and Diné communities. *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* 7(3):502-517.

Tomásková, S. (2003). Nationalism, local histories and the making of data in archaeology. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 9:485-507.

Trigger, B. (1985). The past as power: anthropology and the North American Indian. In *Who Owns the Past?*, I. McBryde (ed.), Pp. 11-40. Oxford: Oxford U.P.

Trigger, B.G. (1989). *A History of Archaeological Thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Trigger, B. (1990). The history of African archaeology in world perspective. In *A History of African Archaeology*, P. Robertshaw (ed.), Pp. 309-319. Heineman, Portsmouth (N.H.).

Trigger, B.G. (1994). The coming of age of the history of archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2(1): 113–36.

Two Bears, D. R. (2006). Navajo archaeologist is not an oxymoron: a tribal archaeologist's experience. *American Indian Quarterly* 30(3/4):381-387.

Vasunia, P. (2013). *The Classics and Colonial India*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Veit, U. (1989). Ethnic concepts in German prehistory: a case study on the relationship between cultural identity and archaeological objectivity. In

Archaeological Approaches to Cultural Identity, S. Shennan (ed.), Pp. 35-56. London: Unwin Hyman.

Vincent, M. L. (2017). Crowdsourced data for cultural heritage. In *Heritage and Archaeology in the Digital Age*. M.L. Vincent, V.M. López-Menchero Bendicho, M. Ioannides, and T.E. Levy, eds. Pp. 79-81. New York: Springer.

Vincent, M. L., et al. (eds.) (2017). *Heritage and Archaeology in the Digital Age*. New York: Springer.

Vitelli, K. (ed.) (1996). *Archaeological Ethics*. Altamira Press.

Voutsaki, S. and P. Cartledge (eds.). (2017). *Ancient Monuments and Modern Identities: A Critical History of Archaeology in 19th and 20th Century Greece*. New York: Routledge.

Waterton, E., and L. Smith (eds.) (2009). *Taking Archaeology Out of Heritage*. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Watkins, J. (2000). *Indigenous Archaeology: American Indian Values and Scientific Practice*. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press.

White, H. (1973). *Metahistory: the Historical Imagination in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

White, H. (1974). The historical text as literary artifact. In his *Tropics of Discourse: Essays in Cultural Criticism*, pp. 81-99. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Whitehead, C. (2009). *Museums and the Construction of Disciplines: Art and Archaeology in Nineteenth-Century Britain*. London: Duckworth.

Whitelaw, K.W. (1996). *The Invention of Ancient Israel: The Silencing of Palestinian History*. London: Routledge.

Wilk, R. (1985). The ancient Maya and the political present. *Journal of Anthropological Research*, 41:307-326.

Willey, G. and J. Sabloff (1993). *A History of American Archaeology*. (3rd edition). New York: W.H. Freeman.

Winter, T. (2013). Clarifying the critical in critical heritage studies. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 19: 532-545.

Woodman, P.C. (1995). Who possesses Tara? Politics in archaeology in Ireland. In *Theory in Archaeology: A World Perspective*. P. Ucko (ed.), Pp. 278-297. London: Routledge.

Woolgar, S. (1981) Interests and explanation in the social study of science. In *Social Studies of Science*, Vol. 11, pp. 365-394.

Woolgar, S. (ed.) (1988). *Knowledge and Reflexivity: New Frontiers in the Sociology of Knowledge*. London: Sage.

Wright, R. (editor) (1996). *Gender and Archaeology*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Wylie, A. (2000). Questions of evidence, legitimacy, and the (dis)union of science. *American Antiquity*, 65:227-237.

Yoffee, N. and A. Sherratt (editors) (1993). *Archaeological Theory: Who Sets the Agenda*? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Zeder, M.A. (1997). *The American Archaeologist: A Profile*. Altamira Press: Walnut Creek, California.

Zerubavel, E. (2003). *Time Maps: Collective Memory and the Social Shape of the Past*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zerubavel, Y. (1995). *Recovered Roots: Collective Memory and the Making of Israeli National Tradition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zimmerman, L. J., K. D. Vitelli, and J. Hollowell-Zimmer (eds.). (2003). *Ethical Issues in Archaeology*. Lanham, MD: Altamira Press.

There are also many other articles of interest in some of the edited volumes listed on the syllabus (e.g. Ucko 1995, Hodder 1991, Robertshaw 1990, Layton 1989, etc...). The journals *Antiquity*, *Archaeological Dialogues*, the *Journal of European Archaeology, World Archaeology, Public Archaeology,* the *Journal of Social Archaeology*, and *Archaeologies: Journal of the World Archaeological Congress* also frequently feature articles on related issues.